UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,941	07/17/2003	Peter Gingras	14188-002001	1557
²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICH <i>A</i>	7590 10/14/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022		SWEET, THOMAS		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3774	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/621,9	0/621,941 GINGRAS, PETER		≣R	
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		Thomas	J. Sweet	3774		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	ation appears on th	he cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ication. ory period will apply and I, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MC oplication to become A	ICATION. Teply be timely filed WITHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
· ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed of This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice)∏ This action is r allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal ma	· ·	ne merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5) 6) 7) 8)	Claim(s) 1-12,15-23,25 and 85 is/are page 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-7 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,8-10, 12,15-23,25 and 85 Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction on Papers	hdrawn from cons	sideration.			
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or bot to the drawing(s) se correction is requ	be held in abeya	nnce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date)-948)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 6, filed 08/06/2008, with respect to the rejections under 35 USC 112 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-3, 8-12, 15-23, 25 and 85 under 35 USC 112 have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/06/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Pacetti et al is biaxially (at right angles) oriented being a 2-dimension film (which is an infinite number of axis on the 2-D film) formed in a tubular configuration. The film is oriented lengthwise and circumferentially which meet the claims in the broadest reasonable interpretation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 8-10, 12, 15-23, 25 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pacetti et al (US 6355058). Pacetti et al discloses a non-woven soft tissue implant (stent, fig 1) comprising a porous biocompatible film (16, fig. 2) having a plurality of cells (as shown in fig. 1), a thickness of less than about 0.015 inches (col 4, lines 24-27), and a surface area ratio less than 1.5 (inherent, as clearly seen in fig. 1, plus it s expandable to much smaller ratio), wherein the biocompatible film (16) comprises one or more films (film-a thin layer or

Art Unit: 3774

coating) of biaxially oriented (there are an infinite number of axes for which this film is oriented including lengthwise and circumferentially).

Regarding claims 9 and 10, (Previously presented) The non-woven soft tissue implant of claim 1, wherein one or more of the cells in the plurality of cells has a diameter, measured along the longest axis of the cell, of about 10 g to about 10,000 g. ("There is no inherent limitation on the stent's diameter or length, and as such will be dependent upon a particular application for the stent.", col 7, lines 39-41, given a typical stent diameter of ½ and inch or less meets this claim based on the drawings. Note- precise proportions are not being used in this rejection).

Regarding claim 12, fully expanded the cells are rectangular so the cells are essentially rectangular at any expansion.

Regarding claim 15, 25 micron equates to about 0.001 inches.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case.

See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3774

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas J. Sweet whose telephone number is 571-272-4761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:45am - 5:15pm, Tu-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Isabella can be reached on 571-272-4749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,941 Page 5

Art Unit: 3774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Thomas J Sweet/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3774